arXiv:1610.06416v1 [gr-qc] 20 Oct 2016

Primordial Universe with radiation and Bose-Einstein condensate

F. G. Alvarenga[*1], L.G. Ferreira Filho[†2], R. Fracalossi[‡3], R. C. Freitas[§4], S. V. B. Gonçalves[¶3], G. A. Monerat[‖6], G. Oliveira-Neto[**7], and E. V. Corrêa Silva[††5]

[1]Departamento de Ciências Naturais, CEUNES, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, CEP 29933-415, São Mateus, ES, Brazil.
[2]Faculdade de Tecnologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, CEP 27537-000, Resende, RJ, Brazil.
[3]Departamento de Física, Centro de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, CEP 29075-910, Vitória, ES, Brazil.
[4]Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Espírito Santo, CEP 29040-780, Vitória, ES, Brazil.
[5]Departamento de Matemática, Física e Computação, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, CEP 27537-000, Resende, RJ, Brazil.
[6]Departamento de Modelagem Computacional, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto Politécnico do Rio de Janeiro, CEP 28.625-570, Nova Friburgo - RJ - Brazil.
[7]Departamento de Física, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, CEP 36036-330 - Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil.

Abstract

In this work we derive a scenario where the early Universe consists of radiation and the Bose-Einstein condensate. We have included in our analysis the possibility of gravitational self-interaction due to the Bose-Einstein condensate being attractive or repulsive. After presenting the general structure of our model, we proceed to compute the finite-norm wave packet solutions to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. The behavior of the scale factor is studied by applying the many-worlds interpretation of quantum mechanics. At the quantum level the cosmological model, in both attractive and repulsive cases, is free from the Big Bang singularity.

PACS number(s): 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq, 04.30.-w

[*]e-mail: flavio.alvarenga@ufes.br
[†]e-mail: kph120@gmail.com
[‡]e-mail: rfracalossi@gmail.com
[§]e-mail: rodolfo.camargo@pq.cnpq.br
[¶]e-mail: sergio.vitorino@pq.cnpq.br
[‖]e-mail: germano.monerat@pq.cnpq.br
[**]e-mail: gilneto@fisica.ufjf.br
[††]e-mail: evasquez@uerj.br

1 Introduction

The beginning of the Universe is one of the greatest conundrum of humanity, perhaps of physics and cosmology. Its structure and evolution will probably be well studied with the aid of quantum gravity. How this theory does not yet exist researcher in this area should use other instruments to analyze this primordial era of the universe. Quantum cosmology, as a good toy model, can provide elements of the early universe.

Recently the Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) dark matter (DM), a cosmological model based on condensate state physics, has appeared in several works as an attempt to explain the origin and nature of DM [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and was initially used to describe DM halos.

The Bose-Einstein condensation process, that is a very well observed phenomenon in terrestrial experiments, occurs when a gas of bosons is cooled at very low temperatures, near absolute zero, what makes a large fraction of the particles occupy the same ground state. The BEC model can also be applied to cosmology in order to describe the evolution of the recent Universe. In this attempts it can be assumed that this kind of condensation could have occurred at some moment during the cosmic history of the Universe. The cosmic BEC mechanism was broadly discussed in [3, 4]. In general the BEC takes place when the gas temperature is below the critical temperature $T_{crt} < 2\pi\hbar^2 n^{2/3}/mk_B$, where n is the particles density, m is the particle mass and k_B is the Boltzmann's constant. Since in an adiabatic process a matter dominated Universe behaves as $\rho \propto T^{3/2}$ the cosmic dynamics has the same temperature dependence. Hence we will have the critical temperature at present $T_{crt} = 0.0027$ K if the boson temperature was equal to the radiation temperature at the red-shift $z = 1000$. During the cosmic adiabatic evolution the ratio of the photon temperature and the matter temperature evolves as $T_r/T_m \propto a$, where a is the scale factor of the Universe. Using as value for the present energy density of the Universe $\rho = 9.44 \times 10^{-30}\mathrm{g/cm}^3$ BEC will happens if the boson mass satisfies $m < 1.87$ eV.

Recently the cosmological process of the condensation of DM was investigated [5, 7, 9] and in this model it is assumed that the condensation process is a phase transition that occurs at some time during the history of the Universe. In this case the normal bosonic DM cools below the critical condensation temperature, that turns to be memorable to form the condensate in which all particles occupy the same ground state. In this new period the two phases coexist for some time until all ordinary DM is converted into the condensed form, when the transition ends. The time evolution of cosmological parameters as the energy density, temperature, scale factor and both scalar and tensorial perturbations is changed during the phase transition process.

There are other cosmological scenarios with BEC. In [6], the author consider a Post-Newtonian cosmological approach to study the global cosmological evolution of gravitationally self-bound BEC dark matter and the evolution of the small cosmological perturbations. The author verifies that the presence of BEC dark matter changes the cosmological dynamics of the Universe. In [8] the author do the same as in [6] with the generalized EoS $p = (\alpha\rho + \sigma\rho^2)\, c^2$. Optimal parameters in good agreement with the ΛCDM model are found. A natural justification and generalization of the adhesion model, the Burges equation and the cosmological Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation, that describes the large-scale structure of the Universe but it is introduced heuristically, are studied in [10]. The possibility that a significant part of the compact astrophysical objects are made of BEC is also considered in [11]. The power spectrum for the BEC dark matter is studied in [12], where the authors limit the mass of the dark matter particle in the range 15 meV $< m < 700$ meV. The effects of a finite dark matter temperature on the cosmological evolution of the BEC dark mater systems is verified in [13]. In this paper the authors have shown that the presence of thermal excitations leads to an overall increase in the expansion rate

of the Universe. With the aim of understanding whether the gravitational interactions of axions can generate entropy, the behavior of the axions during non-linear galaxy evolution is studied in [14], but the assumption that the axion field can form a Bose-Einstein condensate is not yet confirmed. In [15] the authors assume that the dark matter particles are described by a spin-0 scalar field, called scalar field dark matter. These bosonic particles are ultralight, with masses down to the order of 10^{-33} eV/c^2. An ultralight phase-space density is suggests and there is the possibility of formation of a Bose-Einstein condensate. They shown that the scalar field dark matter is compatible with observations of the cosmic microwave background and the abundance of the light elements produced by Big Bang nucleosynthesis. With a relativistic version of the Gross-Pitaevski equation, the authors in [3, 16, 17] propose i) a novel mechanism of inflation, ii) a natural solution for the cosmic coincidence problem with the transition from dark energy into dark matter, iii) a very early formation of highly non-linear objects like black holes and iv) log-z periodicity in the subsequent BEC collapsing time.

We can generalize the BEC equation o state (EoS) ([18]) as follows

$$p = \omega\rho + \sigma\rho^{1+1/n} \quad , \tag{1}$$

to describe the physical state of the matter content of the Universe, as the sum of a standard linear equation of state $p = \omega\rho$, where p is the pressure of the fluid, ρ is the density energy, ω is the state parameter and a polytropic equation of state $p = \sigma\rho^{1+1/n}$, where σ is the polytropic constant and $1 + 1/n$ the polytropic index.

In this work, we describe a specific model of particular physical interest [16, 17] where n is chosen equal to unity. So, the EoS is written as

$$p = \omega\rho + \sigma\rho^2 \quad , \tag{2}$$

where the polytropic constant $\sigma > 0$ represent repulsive and $\sigma < 0$ attractive self-interaction and the linear term describe in general a perfect fluid with $-1 \leq \omega \leq 1$ where $\omega = 1/3$ represents radiation, $\omega = 0$ is dust matter, $\omega = -1$ is cosmological constant and the less known stiff matter describe by $\omega = 1$ [19]. In the present work, we are going to consider only a radiation perfect fluid.

The EoS used here, $p = \omega\rho + \sigma\rho^2$, is the sum of the linear term and a quadratic term, that describes BEC. At late times, when the density is low, the BEC contribution to the EoS is negligible and the evolution is determined by the linear term. But in the early Universe, when the density is high, the term due to BEC in the EoS is dominant and modifies the dynamics of the Universe. Lately this model was used as a model of the early Universe. We can assume that this EoS holds before radiation era and for the repulsive self-interaction the Universe starts at $t = 0$ at a singularity with infinite density but finite radius. For the case of attractive self-interaction the Universe has always existed and for the non-physical limit $t \to -\infty$ the density tends to a constant value and the radius goes to zero, both exponentially [16, 17].

The high density case, with $(1 + \omega + \sigma\rho^2) > 0$, can describe the primordial Universe, which means that the BEC component in the equation (2) dominates in the early universe, when the density is high, and the density decreases with the radius. For $\sigma > 0$ and $\omega \neq -1$ the universe starts at $a \neq 0$ with infinity density [16].

For the EoS equation (2) with $\omega \neq -1$ the energy conservation equation is

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho(1 + \omega + \sigma\rho) = 0 \quad , \tag{3}$$

where overdot denote the derivative with respect to the cosmic time t and $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter. This equation can be easily integrated to give

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_*}{(a/a_0)^{3(1+\omega)} \mp 1} \quad , \tag{4}$$

with the minus sign corresponding to $\sigma > 0$, the plus sign corresponding to $\sigma < 0$, a_0 is a constant of integration, and $\rho_* = (1+\omega)/|\sigma|$.

For the repulsive self-interaction ($\sigma > 0$) the density is defined only for $a_0 < a < \infty$, where

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\rho}{\rho_*} \approx \left(\dfrac{1}{3(1+\omega)}\right) \dfrac{1}{((a/a_0)-1)} \to \infty \quad , \quad a \to a_0 \\ \\ \qquad (a/a_0)^{-3(1+\omega)} \to 0 \qquad , \quad a \to \infty \quad . \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

In the case of an attractive self-interaction ($\sigma < 0$) the density is defined for $0 < a < \infty$, and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{\rho_*} \approx \quad 1 \quad , \quad a \to 0 \\ (a/a_0)^{-3(1+\omega)} \to 0 \quad , \quad a \to \infty \quad , \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

with, in the same limits, $p = -\rho_*$ and $p \to 0$.

In the present paper we want to study the dynamics of a primordial universe filled with BEC and a radiation perfect fluid, using quantum cosmology. The universe has a Friedman-Robertson-Walker geometry and the spatial sections have constant positive curvatures. In particular, we want to determine if the quantum description removes the singularities present in the classical model. In the case of a BEC with an attractive self-interaction the universe is bounded, it means that, classically, there is an initial Big Bang singularity followed by later Big Crunch one. In the case of a BEC with a repulsive self-interaction, classically, the universe starts in a Big Bang singularity and eventually expands and ends in another singularity located at a finite scale factor value.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. (2) we apply the Schutz's formalism in a classical FRW cosmological model with perfect fluid and condensate Bose-Einstein . The analytical evolution of the scale factor of the Universe is obtained. We present next, Section (3), the quantum model obtaining the equation that governs the dynamics of the model, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. In Sec. (4) (repulsive case) and in Sec. (5) (attractive case) wave-packet solutions to the Wheeler-DeWitt are found and expectation values for the scalar factors are evaluated. Sec. (6) constitutes a summary of the results herein presented.

2 The Classical Model

We use the Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker metric to describe the primordial phase of the universe, written as

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)\left(\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2\right) \quad , \tag{7}$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor, $d\Omega$ is the line element of the 2D unit sphere, $N(t)$ is the lapse function and k determines the curvature of the spatial geometry of the universe and indicates whether the universe is open ($k = -1$), closed ($k - +1$) or flat ($k = 0$). Here we use natural

units where $\hbar = 1$ and $8\pi G = c = 1$. In our model the matter content of the universe will consist of the perfect fluid with a barotropic equation of state (EoS) $p = \omega\rho$ $(-1 < \omega < +1)$ plus the condensate Bose-Einstein, described by the EoS equation (2). The energy momentum tensor is defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)U_\mu U_\nu - p g_{\mu\nu} \quad , \tag{8}$$

where U_μ is the four-velocity, ρ the energy density, p the pressure of the fluid and $g_{\mu\nu}$ represents the metric tensor. This tensor characterizes an isotropic fluid in comoving coordinates providing the 4-velocity as timelike where $U^\mu = \delta^0_\mu$.

The classical dynamical of the system is given by the metric (7) and the energy momentum tensor (8) to every fluid. We assume that two-fluid do not interact with each other. Such a procedure is essentially equivalent to consider the Hamilton's equations by a total Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = N(t)H$, such that [20]

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{p_a^2}{24} - 6ka^2 + a^4 p_{poly} + a^{1-3w} p_T \quad . \tag{9}$$

In order to solve the equations of motion the lapse function has to be fixed as $N(t) = a$, called conformal gauge, obtained by the Schutz's formalism [21]. The treatment with Schutz's canonical formalism describes a relativistic fluid interacting with the gravitational field and endows the fluid with dynamical degrees of freedom. Here p_a and p_T are the canonical momenta conjugated associated with the scale factor $a(t)$ and the variable that describes the perfect fluid T. The polytropic pressure p_{poly} is given by

$$p_{poly_+} = \frac{|\sigma|}{(a^3 - 1)}; \quad p_{poly_-} = -\frac{|\sigma|}{(a^3 + 1)} \quad . \tag{10}$$

In the above expression p_{poly_+} indicates a gravitational repulsive self-interaction ($\sigma > 0$, see equation (3)) while p_{poly_-} indicates a gravitational attractive self-interaction.

Using the Hamilton equations, we can write

$$\begin{cases} \dot{a} = & \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_a} = -\frac{p_a}{12} \quad , \\ \dot{p_a} = & -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial a} = 12ka - 4a^3 p_{poly}(a) - a^4 \frac{\partial p_{poly}(a)}{\partial a} - (1 - 3w)a^{-3w} p_T \quad , \\ \dot{T} = & \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_T} = a^{1-3w} \quad , \\ \dot{p_T} = & -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial T} = 0 \quad , \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where the dot indicates derivative with respect to the conformal time η, where: $d\eta \equiv N(t)dt$ with $N(t) = a(t)$.

For the repulsive case, we may write the Friedmann equation by setting the constraint, Eq. (9), to zero and the aid of Eqs. (10) and (11),

$$\dot{a}^2(\eta) = -a^2(\eta) + \frac{p_T}{6} + \frac{\sigma^2 a^4(\eta)}{6(a^3(\eta) - 1)^2}. \tag{12}$$

We computed $a(\eta)$ for many different values of the parameters and initial condition and found that, the scale factor increases from its initial value at $\eta = 0$, first slowly and then very rapidly until it reaches the singularity at $a = 1$. That period of rapid expansion may represent inflation or the present accelerated expansion of the Universe. We can see an example of that behavior in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Scale factor behavior in the case of a radiation fluid ($\omega = 1/3$), $k = 1$ and the Bose-Einstein condensate with gravitational repulsive self-interaction. Here we consider $\sigma = 6$, $P_T = 6$, $\dot{a}(\eta = 0) = 0.01261566107$. The time it takes to reach the singularity at $a = 1$ is $\eta = 0.69913417$.

3 Canonical Quantization

We describe the dynamics of the primordial universe by the quantization of the model written by the Hamiltonian (9). A particular factor has been chosen to avoid the ordering problem [22]

$$a = \left(\frac{3(1-w)x}{2}\right)^{2/3(1-w)} \quad , \quad p_a = p_x a^{(1-3w)/2} \quad . \tag{13}$$

For this particular factor ordering, the Hamiltonian (9) can be written as

$$H = -p_x^2 - V_{eff}(x) + 24 p_T \quad , \tag{14}$$

where

$$V_{eff}(x) = 144k\left(\frac{3(1-w)x}{2}\right)^{2(1+3w)/3(1-w)} - 24\left(\frac{3(1-w)x}{2}\right)^{2(1+w)/(1-w)} p_{poly} \quad . \tag{15}$$

Next we use the Dirac formalism for constrained systems. For this we introduce a wave function of the canonical variables x and T

$$\Psi = \Psi(x, T) \quad . \tag{16}$$

We impose the appropriate commutations relations between the operators ($\hat{x}$, $\hat{T}$) and the respective conjugate momenta ($\hat{p}_x$, $\hat{p}_T$). In the Schrödinger picture observables are represented by Hermitian operators which act on the wave function: the position operator $\hat{x}$ acting on any wave function is equals x multiplied by the same wave function. Their conjugates momenta are represented by the differential operators as

$$\hat{p}_x \to -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \hat{p}_T \to -i\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \quad . \tag{17}$$

Applying the operator version of the super-Hamiltonian corresponding to equation (14) we have $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi(x,\tau)=0$. So we obtain the Wheeleer-DeWitt equation for this model

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+V_{eff}(x)\right)\Psi(x,\tau)=24i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\Psi(x,\tau) \quad , \tag{18}$$

where we introduce the new variable $T=-\tau$. In the present case, the equation (18) corresponds a time dependent Schrödinger-like equation. The use of Schutz's formalism [21] allowed us to obtain a Schrödinger-like Wheeler-DeWitt equation in which the only remaining matter degree of freedom plays the role of time. It is important to say that the $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ must be a self-adjoint operator with respect to inner product [23]

$$(\Psi,\,\Psi)=\int_0^\infty dx\;\Psi^\star(x,\tau)\Psi(x,\tau) \quad , \tag{19}$$

where the whole structure of Hilbert space is restrict for the set of the wave functions, both $\Psi(0,\tau)=\Psi(\infty,\tau)=0$ or $\Psi'(0,\tau)=\Psi'(\infty,\tau)=0$, with the prime means partial derivative with respect to x.

We can solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation (18) writing $\Psi(x,\tau)$ as

$$\Psi(x,\tau)=e^{-iE\tau}\eta(x) \quad , \tag{20}$$

where $\eta(x)$ satisfies the equation

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+V_{eff}(x)\right)\eta(x)=24E\eta(x) \quad , \tag{21}$$

with the effective potential described by (15) and the values of parameters previously defined. We analyze separately the following cases: (i) gravitational repulsive self-interaction and (ii) gravitational attractive self-interaction. In the first case we will solve, numerically, Eq. (18) using a finite difference procedure based on the Crank-Nicholson method [24, 25] and implemented in the program FORTRAN. In the second case we will solve Eq. (21) by using the spectral Galerkin method [31]. The spectral Galerkin method has proven very effective for the quantization of cosmological models of Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker with perfect fluid [28, 29, 30]. For this we will use the SPECTRAL package [32] for the quantization of physical systems. For both cases, we are going to consider only the radiation perfect fluid ($\omega=1/3$) and an universe with positive spatial sections ($k=1$). For radiation, we obtain that $a=x$ and $p_a=p_x$, from Eq. (13).

4 The gravitational repulsive self-interaction ($\sigma>0$)

As mentioned above, we will solve Eq. (18), under the action of an effective potential described by (15) wherein $p_{poly}=p_{poly_+}$ described by (10), using the Crank-Nicholson method. Our choice of the Crank-Nicholson method is based on its recognized stability. The norm conservation is commonly used as a criterion to evaluate the reliability of the numerical calculations of the time evolution of wave functions. In References [26] and [27], this criterion is used to show analytically that the Crank-Nicholson method is unconditionally stable. Here, in order to evaluate the reliability of our algorithm, we have numerically calculated the norm of the wave packet for



Figure 2: Effective potential behavior $V_{eff}(a)$ in the case of a radiation fluid ($\omega = 1/3$), $k = 1$ and the Bose-Einstein condensate with gravitational repulsive self-interaction. Here we consider $\sigma = 6$. For $a = 1$, there is a vertical asymptote.

different times. The results thus obtained show that the norm is preserved. Figure 2 show the effective potential behavior (V_{eff}) as a function of a, for the present case.

As we can see from Figure 2, an important property of $V_{eff}(a)$, in the present case, is that it diverges for $a = 1$. There, the density of the Bose-Einstein condensate diverges and the space-time is singular. As we saw, in the classical solution, the scale factor, initially, increases slowly and then very rapidly until it reaches the singularity at $a = 1$.

In order to determine how is the evolution of this model, at the quantum level, first we compute the wave function that solves Eq. (18). Then, using that wave function we evaluate the scale factor expected value ($\langle a \rangle$). Here, we shall impose the wave functions satisfy the Hawking boundary conditions: $\Psi(0, \tau) = \Psi(\infty, \tau) = 0$. We start by giving a initial wave function, with a given mean energy, very concentrated in a region next to $a = 0$, but zero at that value of a, following the boundary conditions. That initial condition fixes an energy for the radiation and the initial region where a may take values. Our choice for the initial wave function is the following normalized Gaussian,

$$\Psi(a, 0) = \left(\frac{8192 E^3}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} a e^{(-4Ea^2)}, \tag{22}$$

where E is the radiation energy. $\Psi(a, 0)$ is normalized by demanding that the integral of $|\Psi(a, 0)|^2$ from 0 to ∞ be equal to one and its mean energy be E. After we give the initial wave function, we leave it evolves following the Schrödinger equation (18) until it reaches infinity in the a direction. Numerically, one has to fix the infinity at a finite value. In the present case we must fix that value as close as possible to $a = 1$, without including that value. If we include $a = 1$ in that interval the program cannot compute the evolution of the wave function. In the present case we fix $a_{max} = 0.999999999999918$ as the infinity in the a direction. The general behavior of the solutions, for any value of E, is an everywhere well-defined, finite, normalized wave packet. We let the initial wave function evolves enough time such that it is numerically zero at a_{max}, following the boundary conditions, and do not develop a reflected component at

that point. As an example, we solve eq. (18) with $\sigma = 6$, $\omega = 1/3$, $k = 1$. We choose $E = 1000$ for the initial wave function eq. (22). In Figure 3, we show $|\Psi(a, \tau_{max})|^2$ for the values of σ, ω, k and E, given above, at the moment (τ_{max}) when Ψ reaches infinity. It is important to mention that the choice of the numerical values for σ and E above and in the following examples, in the next section, were made simply for a better visualization of the different properties of the system.



Figure 3: $|\Psi(a, \tau_{max})|^2$ for the case where $\sigma = 6$, $\omega = 1/3$, $k = 1$ and $E = 1000$. $a_{max} = 0.999999999999918$ and $\tau_{max} = 4.000000000000005 \times 10^{-3}$.

Using the wave functions, solution to the Schrödinger equation (18), it is possible to obtain the expected value for the scale factor $\langle a \rangle$ of this model through,

$$\langle a \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty |\Psi(a,\tau)|^2 a da}{\int_0^\infty |\Psi(a,\tau)|^2 da} \quad . \tag{23}$$

We computed $\langle a \rangle$ for many wave functions obtained from $\Psi(a, 0)'s$ with different values of E. We, also, computed $\langle a \rangle$ for many wave functions subjected to $p'_{poly}s$, in the Schrödinger equation (18), with different values of σ. For all these cases, we found that the $\langle a \rangle$ always starts from a value greater than zero. Which means that these models are free from the *big bang* singularity. We, also, found that $\langle a \rangle$ always grows. Initially more slowly and then more quickly, qualitatively as in the classical model. As an example, Figure 4 shows the behavior of the $\langle a \rangle$ as function of time, computed from the wave function of Figure 3.

For the above example, it is possible to have an idea of how long it would take for $\langle a \rangle$ to reach the value 1. In order to do that, first we must interpolate $\langle a \rangle$ in the τ region computed in Figure 4. Then, find a function of τ equivalent to $\langle a \rangle$, in that region. Finally, using that function we must extrapolate its behavior to find the time (τ_f) it would take to reach the value 1. If we do that, we find the following function of τ ($a_m(\tau)$), which is in very good agreement with $\langle a \rangle$ in the region $\tau \in [0, 0.0004]$,

$$a_m(\tau) = 0.01261566107 + 8.93943932316946466\tau \exp(120.048029156993096\tau). \tag{24}$$

It reaches the singular value 1, from $\tau = 0$, in $\tau_f = 0.01606155468$.



Figure 4: $\langle a \rangle$ as a function of time for the wave function where $\sigma = 6$, $\omega = 1/3$, $k = 1$ and $E = 1000$.

5 The gravitational attractive self-interaction ($\sigma < 0$)

For the case of gravitational attractive self-interaction the Hamiltonian of the system is written as (14) with an effective potential V_{eff} given by

$$V_{eff}(a) = 144ka^2 + \frac{24|\sigma|^2 a^4}{(a^3+1)^2} \quad . \tag{25}$$

As in the previous case, we have expanded into Taylor's series around the point $a = 0$ to order 350. The analysis of this result given by the potential (25) shows an agreement to 13 decimal places, the range in which $a = [0, 0.9]$. The behavior of this potential is shown in figure 5.



Figure 5: Behavior of the effective potential for the case of a gravitational attractive self-interaction with $\sigma = -6$.

Using Spectral package with $\sigma = -6$ and $L = 0.8$ we obtain the energy spectrum of this model. Table 1 shows the first 20 energy levels.

m	E_m	m	E_m
1	1.974485898611541	11	80.8019902754355
2	5.225359368473296	12	95.57637768225672
3	8.933629084465441	13	111.6368241539612
4	13.47279232505126	14	128.9830684262605
5	19.21359596693284	15	147.6149326400957
6	26.24713592115603	16	167.5322924245567
7	34.57744295573775	17	188.7350587398216
8	44.20042764414275	18	211.2231665040159
9	55.1132001234115	19	234.9965672641276
10	67.31405763103633	20	260.0552243513123

Table 1: Energy spectrum for the case of radiation and Bose-Einstein condensate with gravitational attractive self-interaction. Here we consider $\sigma = -6$, $\mathcal{N} = 100$ and $L = 0.8$.

As the repulsive case, each of the eigenstates obtained here vanishes at $a = 0$ and $a = 0.8$. Figure 6 shows the first 10 eigenstates of energy.



(a) Ψ_1, Ψ_2 and Ψ_3 energy eigenstates.



(b) Ψ_4, Ψ_5 and Ψ_6 energy eigenstates.



(c) Ψ_7 and Ψ_8 energy eigenstates.



(d) Ψ_9 and Ψ_{10} energy eigenstates.

Figure 6: The ten lowest energy eigenstates approximate to the case of a Bose-Einstein condensate with gravitational attractive self-interaction and a radiation fluid. Here we consider $\mathcal{N} = 100$, $\sigma = -6$ and $L = 0.8$ associated with energy eigenvalues shown in the Table 1.

The eigenstates have their norm conserved with an accuracy of the order of 10^{-14} (see Figure 7).



Figure 7: Conservation of the norm of the wave functions obtained with an accuracy of at least 10^{-13}.

The orthogonality condition is confirmed with an accuracy of at least 10^{-12}, as shown in Figure 8.

Again, it is possible to construct wave packets by superposition of energy eigenstates. The results show that the wave packet obtained cancel in the points $a = 0$ and $a = 0.8$, respecting Hawking boundary conditions. These packets are shown in different time instants in Figure 9 and describe the dynamics of the system.

From the wave packets shown in Figure 9 and expression (23), the expected value of the scale factor in this case was calculated and the result is shown in Figure 10. The result shows a universe oscillating between minimum and maximum values always greater than zero. Thus, we see that quantum effects eliminate existing singularities in the model, since the average value is not zero.

The uncertainties $\Sigma(\tau)$ associated with the expected value of the scale factor of the universe ($\langle a \rangle$), are defined by,

$$\Sigma(\tau) = \sqrt{\langle a^2 \rangle - \langle a \rangle^2}, \tag{26}$$

where,

$$\left\langle a^2 \right\rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty a^2 \left|\Psi(a,\tau)\right|^2 da}{\int_0^\infty \left|\Psi(a,\tau)\right|^2 da}, \tag{27}$$

and $\langle a \rangle^2$ is given by the square of Eq. (23).

We calculate those uncertainties and they are positive in the time interval used, as can be seen in Figure 11.

The comparison between the behavior of the expected value of the scale factor in time and the classical solution to the scale factor for a given set of initial conditions is shown in Figure 12. Note that both classical and quantum solutions agree in a short time intervals, as expected.



Figure 8: Orthogonality test between first 12 energy eigenstates in relation to other eigenstates for the attractive case.



Figure 9: Probability density for the wave packet obtained by the superposition of the first ten energy eigenstates shown in Figure 6 in two different time: $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = 1$. Here, $C_n = 1$ for $1 \leq n \leq 10$ and $C_n = 0$ to $n > 10$. The system parameters here assume the values $\sigma = -6$, $N = 100$ and $w = 1/3$.



Figure 10: Behavior of the average value of the scale factor $\langle a \rangle$ as a function of time. Here the wave packets used are obtained with $C_n = 1$ for $1 \leq n \leq 10$ and $C_n = 0$ to $n > 10$. The system parameters here assume the values $\sigma = -6$, $N = 100$ and $w = 1/3$.

The result shown in Figure 12 it is clear: quantum and classical solutions coincide in a short time, and also observes that the quantization eliminates not only the singularity in $a = 0$, but also the future singularity.

6 Conclusions

In the present paper we studied the dynamics of a primordial Universe filled with BEC and a radiation perfect fluid, using quantum cosmology. The universe has a Friedman-Robertson-Walker geometry and the spatial sections have constant positive curvatures. In particular, we wanted to determine if the quantum description removes the singularities present in the classical model. In the case of a BEC with an attractive self-interaction the universe is bounded, it means that, classically, there is an initial Big Bang singularity followed by later Big Crunch one. The above results indicate that, also at the quantum level, the scale factor may have been, initially, bounded. The difference between the scale factor behavior at the classical and the quantum levels



Figure 11: Behavior of the average value of the scale factor $\langle a \rangle$ as a temporal function (in red) and the uncertainties (in green) $\langle a \rangle (\tau) \pm \Sigma(\tau)$. The system parameters here assume the values $\sigma = -6$, $N = 100$ and $w = 1/3$.



Figure 12: Comparison of classical scale factor of the universe as a function of time (blue curve) and its quantum expected value (red curve) for the attractive case $\sigma = -6$. (a) We consider $L = 0.8$, $N = 100$, with a superposition of 10 eigenstates of lower energy, with all coefficients equal to 1. The initial conditions used for classical solution are $a(0) = 0.676055345652304$, $\dot{a}(0) = -0.0001612294$. (b)Zoom of the region near the origin. Here u is the time parameter.

is the fact that, in the quantum version of the model the scale factor expected value oscillates between maximum and minimum values and never go to zero. Therefore, this cosmological model is free from singularities, at the quantum level. We improved this result by showing that the quantity $\langle a \rangle - \Sigma_a$ is always positive for many different wave packets. Where Σ_a stands for the standard deviation of a.

In the case of a BEC with a repulsive self-interaction, classically, the universe starts in a Big Bang singularity and eventually expands and ends in another singularity located at a finite scale factor value. In this case, we computed $\langle a \rangle$ for many wave functions subjected to $p'_{poly}s$, in the Schrödinger equation (18), with different values of σ. For all these cases, we found that the $\langle a \rangle$ always starts from a value greater than zero, which means that these models are free from the *big bang* singularity. We, also, found that $\langle a \rangle$ always grows. Initially more slowly and then more quickly, qualitatively as in the classical model. In our numerical calculations the singularity located at a finite scale factor value cannot be removed because if we include that value in the

computation of the wave function the program is unable to do any calculation.

Acknowledgment

We thank FAPES for partial financial support. G. A. Monerat thanks UERJ for Prociencia grant.

References

[1] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71** (1999) 463, [arXiv:cond-mat/9806038];

[2] C.G. Böhmer and T. Harko, *JCAP* **06** (2007) 025, [arXiv:0705.4158];

[3] T. Fukuyama and M. Morikawa, *Progr. Theoret. Phys* **115** (2006) 1047-1068, [arXiv:astro-ph/0509789];

[4] T. Fukuyama and M. Morikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 063520, [arXiv:0905.0173];

[5] T. Harko, *Physical Review D* **83** (2011) 123515, [arXiv:1105.5189];

[6] T. Harko, *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **413** (2011) 3095-3104, [arXiv:1101.3655v2];

[7] R.C. Freitas and S.V.B. Gonçalves, *JCAP* **04** (2013) 049, [arXiv:1211.6701];

[8] P.H. Chavanis, *A&A* **537** (2012) A127, [arXiv:1103.2698];

[9] R. C. de Freitas and H. Velten, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) no.12, 597 [arXiv:1503.01877];

[10] P.H. Chavanis, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 063518, [arXiv:1103.3219v1];

[11] P.H. Chavanis and T. Harko, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 064011, [arXiv:1108.3986v1];

[12] H. Velten and E. Wamba, *Phys.Lett.* **B709** (2012) 1-5, [arXiv:1111.2032v2];

[13] T. Harko and G. Mocanu, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 084012, [arXiv:1203.2984v1];

[14] S. Davidson and M. Elmer, *JCAP* **12** (2013) 034, [arXiv:1307.8024v2];

[15] B. Li, T. Rindler-Daller and P.R. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 083536, [arXiv:1310.6061v1];

[16] P.H Chavanis, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **129** (2014) 38, [arXiv:1208.0797];

[17] P.H Chavanis, *A simple model of universe with a polytropic equation of state*, (2012), [arXiv:1208.1192];

[18] P.H. Chavanis, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **129** (2014) 222, [arXiv:1208.1185];

[19] Y. B. Zeldovich, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **14** (1962) 1143;

[20] F. G. Alvarenga, J. C. Fabris, N. A. Lemos and G. A. Monerat , Gen.Rel.Grav. **34**, 651-663 (2002).

[21] B.F. Schutz, Phys. Rev. **D2**, 2762(1970); **D4**, 3559(1971).

[22] E.V. Corrêa Silva, G. A. Monerat, G. Oliveira-Neto, C. Neves, and L. G. Ferreira Filho, *Phys. Rev.* **D**80, 047302 (2009), [arXiv:0903.3933v1];

[23] Lemos, N.A., *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 1449, [arXiv:gr-qc/9511082v1];

[24] J. Crank and P. Nicholson, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **43**, 50 (1947).

[25] For a more detailed explanation see: W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1997), Sec. 19.2; and C. Scherer, *Métodos Computacionais da Física*, (Editora Livraria da Física, São Paulo, 2005), Chap. 3.

[26] T. Iitaka, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 4684 (1994).

[27] S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 087501 (2000).

[28] Pedram, P., Mirzaei, M., Gousheh, S.S., *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **176** (2007) 581, [arXiv:math-ph/0701015v2];

[29] Pedram, P., Mirzaei, M., Jalalzadeh, S., Gousheh, S.S., *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **40** (2008) 1663, [arXiv:0711.3833v1];

[30] G. Oliveira-Neto, G.A. Monerat, E.V. Corrêa Silva, C. Neves, L.G. Ferreira Filho, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **52** (2013) 2991;

[31] Boyd, J.P.: **Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods**, 2nd edn. Dover, New York (2001);

[32] E.V. Corrêa Silva, G.A. Monerat, G. de Oliveira Neto, L.G. Ferreira Filho, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 380;

[33] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D**28 (1983) 2960.